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Please answer the following questions as a guide to your comprehensive review below. The paper will be evaluated based on how you justify each of your answers. An answer without explanation carries very little weight. In your comprehensive review, please also include a short paragraph that paraphrases the paper.

A. Suitability of Topic
1. Is the topic appropriate for publication in these transactions?

B. Content
1. Is the paper technically sound?

2. How would you rate the technical novelty of the paper?

3. Is the contribution significant?

4. Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

5. Rate the Bibliography

C. Presentation
1. How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

2. Are the title and abstract satisfactory?

3. Is the length of the paper appropriate? If not, recommend what should be added or eliminated.

4. Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

5. How do you rate the English usage?

Recommendation
A - Publish Unaltered
AQ - Publish With Minor, Required Changes
RQ - Review Again After Major Changes
R - Reject

Comments
Confidential Comments to the Associate Editor
If you know of others who would be competent to review this paper, please provide names and contact information (e-mail and fax preferred). Do you consider this paper a candidate for an award for outstanding quality? If so, why?